

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC PLUS MORE)

(1998) KLR VOL 4 PART 61 pp. 681-814

APRIL 1998

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

1. Aghadiuno v. Onubogu p. 681
2. Ladimeji v. Salami p. 719
3. Olatunde v. Obafemi Awolowo University p. 741
4. Rhein Mass Und See Schiffahrskontor Gmbh v. Rivway Lines Limited p. 769

COURT OF APPEAL CASES

1. Oteri v. Afonughe p. 793
2. Iruvbe v. Demide p. 807

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (1998) 4 KLR**

ACTIONS - Parties - Complaint of the defendants that the two courts below considered issues between the wrong parties lacks merit - In view of the explanation given by the 2nd defendant. *Ladimeji v. Salami* p. 719

ACTIONS - Limitation - Reckoning of the statutory period - What is barred after the statutory period is the action - Proper of definition of “action” and “cause of action” *Schiffahrskontor Gmbh v. Rivway Lines Ltd* p. 769

ACTIONS - Limitation - Admiralty jurisdiction enforceable in rem - Exemption from the provisions of S. 7(1) of the Limitation Act - The Plaintiff’s cause of action being one within the Admiralty jurisdiction which is enforceable in rem - The action taken to enforce its right though in personam - Is exempted from the provisions of S. 7(1). *Schiffahrskontor Gmbh v. Rivway Lines Ltd* p. 769

ADMIRALTY - Cause of action enforceable in rem - Where the plaintiff proceeds by action in personam - It would not alter the nature of the cause of action - Since the question whether the action is to be in rem or in personam is one of mere procedure. *Schiffahrskontor Gmbh v. Rivway Lines Ltd* p. 769

APPEALS - Order - Notice of discontinuance - Where it is invalid - The Court below ought to have remitted the case to the High Court for hearing - But there is no invalidity in the present case on appeal. *Aghadiuno v. Onubogu* p. 681

APPEALS - Judgments - The test to be applied in deciding whether an order is final or interlocutory - Is the one which looks at the order made and not at the nature of the proceedings - And the determinant factor is whether the rights of the parties are finally disposed of by the order appealed against. *Olatunde v. Obafemi Awolowo University* p. 741

APPEALS - Interpleader proceedings - Jurisdiction of Court of Appeal -

Where the interpleader decision is final - And the appeal was lodged within the statutory period - The Court of Appeal has jurisdiction.

CONTRACTS - Breaches - Where the breaches complained of were not incapable of remedy - It would be wrong to suggest that there was no obligation to serve the prescribed notice upon the contractor - Requiring it to remedy the alleged breaches pursuant to the provisions of the contract. Olatunde v. Obafemi Awolowo University p. 741

CONTRACTS - Right of lien - Where the agreement in issue was not validly determined in accordance with the terms of the contract - The claimant's claim to lien was premature - And had not accrued as provided for under the contract. Olatunde v. Obafemi Awolowo University p. 741

CONTRACTS - Interpretation - It is not the duty of any court to make contracts for the parties - And where the language and intent of an enactment or contract is apparent - A trial court must not distort their meaning. Olatunde v. Obafemi Awolowo University p. 741

CONTRACTS - Interpretation - Conditions for a valid determination of the contract - The Court of Appeal was in error - When it invoked a distinction as to the applicability of the conditions. Olatunde v. Obafemi Awolowo University p. 741

COURTS - Order - To be made in an application for leave to discontinue a suit - Is a matter exclusively for the court in exercise of its judicial discretion - But all the circumstances of the case must inevitably be taken into consideration. Aghadiuno v. Onubogu p. 681

EVIDENCE - Relevant facts - Native court proceedings - While the plaintiffs in the instant case could not plead res judicata - They could nonetheless rely on the native court proceedings as relevant facts. Ladimeji v. Salami p. 719

INTERPLEADER PROCEEDINGS - Decision - Which conclusively disposed of the rights of the parties to the vehicle in issue - And as to whether the same could be attached or not - Is a final decision. Olatunde v. Obafemi Awolowo University p. 741

JUDGMENTS - Miscarriage of justice - Where the trial judge anchored his decision on another ground - Although the submission that plaintiffs could not plead *res judicata* is correct - There has been no miscarriage of justice. Ladimeji v. Salami p. 719

JUDGMENTS - Grant of leave to discontinue an action - In view of the special plea of estoppel per rem judicatam raised in the statement of defence - The learned trial judge was entitled to look at the averments - In deciding whether or not to grant the leave. Aghadiuno v. Onubogu p. 681

JURISDICTION - Admiralty jurisdiction of the Federal High Court - Where the plaintiff claims that it made some disbursements on account of various vessels as ship agent - And has not been reimbursed - The cause of action is one falling within the admiralty jurisdiction of the Federal High Court. Schiffahrtskontor Gmbh v. Rivway Lines Ltd p. 769

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE - Notice of discontinuance - Brought after the date fixed for hearing - The application was not invalid since how it was headed is of no consequence - And as the notice was not brought under the first limb of Order 47 Rule 1 - The ratio decidendi of Okorodudu's case would not apply. Aghadiuno v. Onubogu p. 681

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE - Notice of discontinuance - Brought under Order 47 Rule 1 of the High Court Rules, Eastern Nigeria, - Where Counsel had declared his intention to withdraw the action - And sought an adjournment to enable him bring a formal application - The learned trial judge was perfectly right to have treated the notice subsequently filed - As an application to withdraw the suit under the second limb of Order 47 Rule 1. Aghadiuno v. Onubogu p. 681

RES JUDICATA - Plea of res judicata - For it to be successful - It must be shown that the parties, issues and subject matter in the previous action - Are the same as those in the present action *Ladimeji v. Salami* p. 719

RES JUDICATA - Plea of res judicata - Was not open to the plaintiffs - And the courts below ought not to have regarded plaintiff's pleadings as raising res judicata. *Ladimeji v. Salami* p. 719

STATUTES - Interpretation - S.7(3) of the Limitation Act - Interpretation of "cause of action" to mean "action" - Such an interpretation will only lead to absurdity - There is no place for the incorporation of the mischief rule in this case.

WAIVER - Notice of determination of a contract - Mere acceptance or receipt of it - Is not per se conclusive evidence of waiver of any irregularity in the notice. *Olatunde v. Obafemi Awolowo University* p. 741

WAIVER - Unequivocal act - The receipt or acceptance of notice of determination - Did not establish the doing of any unequivocal act by the contractor accepting or endorsing the situation as immaterial. *Olatunde v. Obafemi Awolowo University* p. 741

WORDS & PHRASES - "Waiver" - Definition of - To amount to waiver express or implied - Two elements must co-exist. *Olatunde v. Obafemi Awolowo University* p. 741

WORDS & PHRASES - "Action" and "cause of action" - How properly defined. *Schiffahrtskontor GmbH v. Rivway Lines Ltd* p. 769

COURT OF APPEAL

COURTS - Coercive power - May be invoked in a proper case by a court - To resist any deliberate and unwarranted attempt - To delay its proceedings. *Iruvbe v. Demide* p. 807 CA

viii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (1998) 4 KLR CA

COURTS - Adjournment - Where reasons given by the trial judge for refusing adjournment - Is not borne out by the records - Adjournment should not have been refused. *Iruvbe v. Demide* p. 807 CA

COURTS - Adjournment - Where refusal is based on a wrongful exercise of discretion - And it also occasioned grave injustice - Appellate court has a duty to intervene. *Iruvbe v. Demide* p. 807 CA

CRIMINAL LAW - Bona fide claim of right - Where raised by evidence - Manner of doing so does not matter - And it was erroneous to hold - That the defence cannot form the basis of a no case submission - Or that such a defence can only be assessed after the trial court had the opportunity of hearing the defence. *Oteri v. Afonughe* p. 793 CA

CRIMINAL LAW - Bona fide claim of right - The principle of reasonableness as propounded in *R v. Clemens* - Where used as the basis for testing the correctness of the decision of the learned chief magistrate - He was not wrong in upholding the no case submission. *Oteri v. Afonughe* p. 793 CA

CRIMINAL LAW - Malicious damage - Defence of bona fide claim of right - Admission by an accused person of having damaged the property to which a charge relates - Is not a condition precedent to the raising of the defence. *Oteri v. Afonughe* p. 793 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - No case submission s.286 CPA - Was properly upheld by the trial Chief magistrate. *Oteri v. Afonughe* p. 793 CA

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE - Adjournment - Ought to be granted - Whenever it's effect is to ensure the determination of the issues between the parties on the merits - And the application is not made for the purpose of mere delay. *Iruvbe v. Demide* p. 807 CA

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

ix

Administration of Justice Act (of England) 1956 ss. 1(i) (p)
Schiffahrskontor Gmbh v. Rivway Lines Ltd p. 769

Evidence Act, ss. 54, 55 (1) and (2) Ladimeji v. Salami p. 719

Exchange Control Act, 1962 ss. 3, 7, and 9 Admiralty Jurisdiction Act, No
59, 1991; s 2(2) (p) Schiffahrskontor Gmbh v. Rivway Lines Ltd p. 769

Federal High Court Act, 1973 S. 7(1) (d) Schiffahrskontor Gmbh v.
Rivway Lines Ltd p. 769

High Court of Lagos (Civil Procedure Rules) Order 10 Rules, 1, 2, 3, 4 and
5, and Order 40 Rule 1 Aghadiuno v. Onubogu p. 681

High Court Rules Cap. 61, volume 4, Laws of Eastern Nigeria 1963, Order
47 Rule 1 Aghadiuno v. Onubogu p. 681

High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules, 1958, Western Region of Nigeria
Order 28 Rule 1 (1) and (2) Aghadiuno v. Onubogu p. 681

Law of Property Act, 1925, of the United Kingdom, s. 146 (1) Olatunde
v. Obafemi Awolowo University p. 741

Limitation Act No. 88 of 1966, s. 7(1) and (3) Schiffahrskontor Gmbh
v. Rivway Lines Ltd p. 769

Sheriffs and Civil Process Law, Cap. 117, Vol VI, Laws of Oyo State, s
13 (1) Olatunde v. Obafemi Awolowo University p. 741

Supreme Court (Civil Procedure) Rules cap. 211, Laws of Nigeria (1948,
Order XLIV Rule (1) Aghadiuno v. Onubogu p. 681